

Hugoton

ROYALTY TRUST



HUGOTON ROYALTY TRUST 901 Main Street, 17th Floor, P.O. Box 830650, Dallas, Texas 75283-0650 • (877) 228-5083
U.S. Trust, Bank of America Private Wealth Management, Trustee • www.hugotontrust.com

SECOND QUARTER REPORT
2012

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF DISTRIBUTABLE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net Profits Income	\$ 6,956,529	\$14,667,930	\$17,029,848	\$ 27,882,028
Interest Income	149	171	369	456
Total Income.....	6,956,678	14,668,101	17,030,217	27,882,484
Administration Expense	394,838	265,341	642,937	539,724
DISTRIBUTABLE INCOME	<u>\$ 6,561,840</u>	<u>\$14,402,760</u>	<u>\$16,387,280</u>	<u>\$27,342,760</u>
DISTRIBUTABLE INCOME PER UNIT				
(40,000,000 UNITS).....	<u>\$ 0.164046</u>	<u>\$ 0.360069</u>	<u>\$ 0.409682</u>	<u>\$ 0.683569</u>

These condensed statements of distributable income should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the trust's 2011 annual report. For further information, see the trust's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Statements in this report to unitholders relating to future plans, predictions, events or conditions are forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this report to unitholders, including, without limitation, statements regarding the net profits interests, underlying properties, development activities, annual and monthly development, production and

other costs and expenses, monthly development cost deductions, oil and gas prices and differentials to NYMEX prices, supply levels, future drilling, workover and restimulation plans, the outcome of litigation and impact on trust proceeds, distributions to unitholders and industry and market conditions, are forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties which are detailed in Part I, Item 1A of the trust's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, which is incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein. XTO Energy and the trustee assume no duty to update these statements as of any future date.

TO UNITHOLDERS:

For the quarter ended June 30, 2012, net profits income was \$6,956,529, as compared to \$14,667,930 for second quarter 2011. This 53% decrease in net profits income is primarily the result of lower gas prices (\$7.7 million). See “Net Profits Income” on the following page.

After adding interest income of \$149 and deducting administration expense of \$394,838, distributable income for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was \$6,561,840 or \$0.164046 per unit of beneficial interest. Administration expense for the quarter increased \$129,497 as compared to the prior year quarter, \$100,000 of which the trustee has reserved for legal expenses regarding investigating the *Fankhouser* class action settlement. For second quarter 2011, distributable income was \$14,402,760, or \$0.360069 per unit.

Distributions to unitholders for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 were:

RECORD DATE	PAYMENT DATE	PER UNIT
April 30, 2012	May 14, 2012	\$ 0.061322
May 31, 2012	June 14, 2012	0.052539
June 29, 2012	July 16, 2012	0.050185
TOTAL		\$ 0.164046

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, net profits income was \$17,029,848 compared with \$27,882,028 for the same 2011 period. This 39% decrease in net profits income is primarily the result of lower gas prices (\$9.5 million) and decreased oil and gas production (\$3.8 million), partially offset by decreased development costs (\$1.7 million). See “Net Profits Income” on the following page.

After adding interest income of \$369 and deducting administration expense of \$642,937, distributable income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$16,387,280, or \$0.409682 per unit of beneficial interest. Administration expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$103,213 as compared with the same 2011 period, \$100,000 of which the trustee has reserved for legal expenses regarding investigating the *Fankhouser* class action settlement. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, distributable income was \$27,342,760, or \$0.683569 per unit.

Individualized tax information is provided annually to unitholders of record. Unitholders owning units in nominee name may obtain monthly tax information from the trustee upon request or from the trust's web site at www.hugotontrust.com.

This letter, and all communications to unitholders, includes information provided to the trustee by XTO Energy Inc.

HUGOTON ROYALTY TRUST

By: U.S. Trust Bank of America
Private Wealth Management, Trustee



By: Nancy G. Willis
Vice President

Net Profits Income

Net profits income is recorded when received by the trust, which is the month following receipt by XTO Energy, and generally two months after oil and gas production. Net profits income is generally affected by three major factors:

- oil and gas sales volumes,
- oil and gas sales prices, and
- costs deducted in the calculation of net profits income.

The following are explanations of significant variances on the underlying properties from second quarter 2011 to second quarter 2012 and from the first six months of 2011 to the comparable period in 2012:

Sales Volumes

Gas. Gas sales volumes decreased 7% for second quarter and 8% for the six-month period as compared with the same 2011 periods primarily because of natural production decline.

Oil. Oil sales volumes decreased 3% for second quarter 2012 as compared with the same 2011 period primarily because of natural production decline. Oil sales volumes decreased 14% for the first six months of 2012 as compared with the same 2011 period primarily because of natural production decline and the timing of cash receipts.

The estimated rate of natural production decline on the underlying oil and gas properties is approximately 6% to 8% a year.

Sales Prices

Gas. The second quarter 2012 average gas price was \$3.05 per Mcf, a 37% decrease from the second quarter 2011 average gas price of \$4.86 per Mcf. For the six-month period, the average gas price decreased 24% to \$3.54 per Mcf in 2012 from \$4.63 per Mcf in 2011. Natural gas prices are affected by the level of North American production, weather, crude oil and natural gas liquids prices, the U.S. economy, storage levels and import levels of liquefied natural gas. Natural gas prices are expected to remain volatile. The second quarter 2012 gas price is primarily related to production from February through April 2012, when the average NYMEX price was \$2.44 per MMBtu. The average NYMEX price for May and June 2012 was \$2.23 per MMBtu. At July 16,

2012, the average NYMEX futures price for the following twelve months was \$3.26 per MMBtu.

Oil. The second quarter 2012 average oil price was \$100.63 per Bbl, a 4% increase from the second quarter 2011 average oil price of \$96.92 per Bbl. The year-to-date average oil price increased 8% to \$98.24 per Bbl in 2012 from \$90.95 per Bbl in 2011. Oil prices are expected to remain volatile. The second quarter 2012 oil price is primarily related to production from February through April 2012, when the average NYMEX price was \$104.01 per Bbl. The average NYMEX price for May and June 2012 was \$88.53 per Bbl. At July 16, 2012, the average NYMEX futures price for the following twelve months was \$90.41 per Bbl.

Costs

Taxes, Transportation and Other. Taxes, transportation and other decreased 23% for the quarter and 17% for the six-month period primarily because of decreased gas production taxes and other deductions related to lower gas revenues, partially offset by increased property tax valuations.

Production. Production expense increased 15% for the quarter primarily because of increased maintenance, water disposal and other operating costs, partially offset by decreased compressor rental costs. Production expense increased 11% for the six-month period primarily because of increased maintenance, chemical and treating, water disposal and other operating costs, partially offset by decreased insurance costs.

Development. Development costs deducted in the calculation of net profits income are based on the development budget. These development costs decreased 41% for the second quarter and for the six-month period primarily because of decreased development activity.

As of December 31, 2011, cumulative budgeted costs exceeded cumulative actual costs by approximately \$2.4 million. In calculating net profits income for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, XTO Energy deducted budgeted development costs of \$1.5 million for the quarter and \$3.0 million for the six-month period. After considering actual development costs of \$4.0 million for the quarter and \$5.9 million for the six-month period, cumulative actual costs exceeded budgeted costs deducted by approximately \$0.5 million at June 30, 2012.

XTO Energy has advised the trustee that revised total 2012 budgeted development costs for the underlying properties are between \$6 million and \$8 million. The 2012 budget year generally coincides with the trust distribution months from April 2012 through March 2013. The monthly development cost deduction will be reevaluated by XTO Energy and revised as necessary, based on the 2012 budget and the timing and amount of actual expenditures.

Contingencies. An amended petition for a class action lawsuit, *Beer, et al. v. XTO Energy Inc.*, was filed in January 2006 in the District Court of Texas County, Oklahoma by certain royalty owners of natural gas wells in Oklahoma and Kansas. The plaintiffs allege that XTO Energy has not properly accounted to the plaintiffs for the royalties to which they are entitled and seek an accounting regarding the natural gas and other products produced from their wells and the prices paid for the natural gas and other products produced, and for payment of the monies allegedly owed since June 2002, with a certain limited number of plaintiffs claiming monies owed for additional time. XTO Energy removed the case to federal district court in Oklahoma City. In April 2010, new counsel and representative parties, Fankhouser and Goddard, filed a motion to intervene and prosecute the *Beer* class, now styled *Fankhouser v. XTO Energy Inc.* This motion was granted on July 13, 2010. The new plaintiffs and counsel filed an amended complaint asserting new causes of action for breach of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment. On December 16, 2010, the court certified the class. Cross motions for summary judgment were filed by the parties and ruled on by the court. After consideration of the rulings by the court in March and April 2012, some benefiting XTO Energy and some benefiting the plaintiffs, and with due regard to the vagaries of litigation and their uncertain outcomes, XTO Energy and the plaintiffs entered into settlement negotiations prior to trial and reached a tentative settlement of \$37 million on April 23, 2012. The hearing for formal court approval was conducted on June 21, 2012 and preliminarily approved by the court on June 29, 2012. A fairness hearing has been scheduled for October 10, 2012. XTO Energy has advised the trustee it believes that the terms of the conveyances covering the trust's net profits interests require the trust to bear its 80% interest in the settlement, or approximately \$29.6 million. If so, this will adversely

affect the net proceeds of the trust from Oklahoma and Kansas and will result in costs exceeding revenues on these properties. XTO Energy has stated that it will begin deducting the settlement amount in the third quarter. Based on recent revenue and expense levels, it is expected that the deductions XTO Energy has stated it will make will cause costs to exceed revenues for approximately 18 months; however, changes in oil or natural gas prices or expenses could cause the time period to increase or decrease correspondingly. The net profits interest from Wyoming is unaffected and payments will continue to be made from those properties to the extent revenues exceed costs on such properties. XTO Energy has advised the trustee that the settlement is expected to decrease the amount of net profits going forward for the Oklahoma and Kansas properties due to changes in the way costs (such as gathering, compression and fuel) associated with operating the properties will be allocated, resulting in a net gain to the royalty interest owners. XTO Energy has advised the trustee that this expected net upward revision for the royalty interest owners will reduce applicable net profits to XTO Energy and, correspondingly, to the trust. The trustee has advised XTO Energy that all or a portion of the settlement amount should not be deducted from trust revenues. XTO Energy does not agree with the trustee's position and to resolve this disagreement XTO Energy initiated arbitration on August 1, 2012 in accordance with the terms of the dispute resolution provisions of the Trust Indenture. The trustee intends to prepare a response to XTO Energy's arbitration claim within the required time frame. The binding arbitration will be heard by a panel of three arbitrators in Fort Worth, Texas, with each side selecting one arbitrator and the third arbitrator selected by the two appointed arbitrators. The arbitration will be administered by the American Arbitration Association under its commercial rules.

In September 2008, a class action lawsuit was filed against XTO Energy styled *Wallace B. Roderick Revocable Living Trust, et al. v. XTO Energy Inc.* in the District Court of Kearny County, Kansas. XTO Energy removed the case to federal court in Wichita, Kansas. The plaintiffs allege that XTO Energy has improperly taken post-production costs from royalties paid to the plaintiffs from wells located in Kansas, Oklahoma and Colorado. The plaintiffs have filed a motion to certify the class, including only Kansas and Oklahoma wells

not part of the Fankhouser matter. After filing the motion to certify, but prior to the class certification hearing, the plaintiff filed a motion to sever the Oklahoma portion of the case so it could be transferred and consolidated with a newly filed class action in Oklahoma styled *Chieftain Royalty Company v. XTO Energy Inc.* This motion was granted. The Roderick case now comprises only Kansas wells not previously included in the Fankhouser matter. The case was certified as a class action in March 2012. XTO Energy has filed an appeal of the class certification to the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals on April 11, 2012. The appeal was granted on June 26, 2012.

In December 2010, a class action lawsuit was filed against XTO Energy styled *Chieftain Royalty Company v. XTO Energy Inc.* in Coal County District Court, Oklahoma. XTO Energy removed the case to federal court in the Eastern District of Oklahoma. The plaintiffs allege that XTO Energy wrongfully deducted fees from royalty payments on Oklahoma wells, failed to make diligent efforts to secure the best terms available for the sale of gas and its constituents, and demand an accounting to determine whether they have been fully and fairly paid gas royalty interests. The case expressly excludes those claims and wells being prosecuted in the Fankhouser case. The severed Roderick case claims related to the Oklahoma portion of the case were consolidated into *Chieftain*. The case was certified as a class action in April 2012. XTO Energy has filed an appeal of the class certification to the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals on April 26, 2012. The appeal was granted on June 26, 2012.

XTO Energy has informed the trustee that it believes that XTO Energy has strong defenses to these lawsuits and intends to vigorously defend its position. However, XTO Energy is cognizant of other, similar litigation involving it, such as *Fankhouser*, and other, unrelated entities. As these cases develop XTO Energy will assess its legal position accordingly. If XTO Energy ultimately makes any settlement payments or receives a judgment against it in *Chieftain* or *Roderick*, XTO Energy has advised the trustee that it believes that the terms of the conveyances covering the trust's net profits interests require the trust to bear its 80% share of such settlement or judgment related to production from the underlying properties. Additionally, if the judgment or settlement increases the amount of future payments to royalty

owners, XTO Energy has informed the trustee that the trust would bear its proportionate share of the increased payments through reduced net proceeds. In the event of any such settlement or judgment, the trustee intends to review any claimed reductions in payment to the trust based on the facts and circumstances of such settlement or judgment. XTO Energy has informed the trustee that, although the amount of any reduction in net proceeds is not presently determinable, in its management's opinion, the amount is not currently expected to be material to the trust's financial position or liquidity though it could be material to the trust's annual distributable income. Additionally, XTO Energy has advised the trustee that any reductions would result in costs exceeding revenues on the properties underlying the net profit interests of the cases named above, as applicable, for several monthly distributions, depending on the size of the judgment or settlement, if any, and the net proceeds being paid at that time, which would result in the net profits interest being limited until such time that the revenues exceed the costs of those net profits interests. If there is a settlement or judgment and should XTO Energy and the trustee disagree concerning the amount of the settlement or judgment to be charged against the trust's net profits interests, the matter will be resolved by binding arbitration under the terms of the Indenture creating the trust through the American Arbitration Association.

Certain of the underlying properties are involved in various other lawsuits and certain governmental proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. XTO Energy has advised the trustee that it does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these claims will have a material effect on the financial position or liquidity of the trust, but may have an effect on annual distributable income.

Subsequent Events. XTO Energy advised the trustee that lower gas prices and increased production expenses related to the timing of cash expenditures caused costs to exceed revenues by \$114,245 (\$91,396 net to the trust) on properties underlying the Wyoming net profits interests in July 2012.

The trustee has advised XTO Energy it withheld \$100,000 in July 2012, bringing the total reserved to \$200,000, for potential legal expenses regarding investigating the *Fankhouser* class action settlement. The trustee may withhold additional amounts from future distributions, which amounts may exceed the amounts withheld to date.

Glossary of Terms

Bbl	Barrel (of oil)
Mcf	Thousand cubic feet (of natural gas)
MMBtu	One million British Thermal Units, a common energy measurement

CALCULATIONS OF NET PROFITS INCOME

The following is a summary of the calculation of the net profits income received by the trust:

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 ^(a)			SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30 ^(a)		
	2012	2011	INCREASE (DECREASE)	2012	2011	INCREASE (DECREASE)
SALES VOLUMES						
Gas (Mcf) ^(b)						
Underlying Properties.....	4,913,513	5,299,946	(7%)	10,107,444	10,935,280	(8%)
Average Per Day.....	54,595	59,550	(8%)	55,535	60,416	(8%)
Net Profits Interests	1,621,395	2,641,356	(39%)	3,807,006	5,269,357	(28%)
Oil (Bbls) ^(b)						
Underlying Properties.....	62,354	64,010	(3%)	113,981	132,185	(14%)
Average Per Day.....	693	719	(4%)	626	730	(14%)
Net Profits Interests	24,784	33,993	(27%)	48,549	67,760	(28%)
AVERAGE SALES PRICES						
Gas (per Mcf).....	\$ 3.05	\$ 4.86	(37%)	\$ 3.54	\$ 4.63	(24%)
Oil (per Bbl).....	\$ 100.63	\$ 96.92	4%	\$ 98.24	\$ 90.95	8%
REVENUES						
Gas sales.....	\$14,977,782	\$25,740,672	(42%)	\$35,764,905	\$50,591,936	(29%)
Oil sales	6,274,340	6,203,994	1%	11,197,518	12,022,070	(7%)
TOTAL REVENUES.....	21,252,122	31,944,666	(33%)	46,962,423	62,614,006	(25%)
COSTS						
Taxes, transportation and other	2,649,939	3,443,176	(23%)	5,620,990	6,764,671	(17%)
Production expense	5,650,714	4,911,651	15%	11,606,609	10,482,090	11%
Development costs	1,500,000	2,550,000	(41%)	3,000,000	5,100,000	(41%)
Overhead	2,755,808	2,704,927	2%	5,447,514	5,414,710	1%
TOTAL COSTS.....	12,556,461	13,609,754	(8%)	25,675,113	27,761,471	(8%)
NET PROCEEDS.....	8,695,661	18,334,912	(53%)	21,287,310	34,852,535	(39%)
NET PROFITS PERCENTAGE	80%	80%		80%	80%	
NET PROFITS INCOME.....	\$ 6,956,529	\$14,667,930	(53%)	\$17,029,848	\$27,882,028	(39%)

(a) Because of the two-month interval between time of production and receipt of net profits income by the trust, (1) oil and gas sales for the quarter ended June 30 generally represent production for the period February through April and (2) oil and gas sales for the six months ended June 30 generally represent production for the period November through April.

(b) Oil and gas sales volumes are allocated to the net profits interests based upon a formula that considers oil and gas prices and

the total amount of production expense and development costs. As product prices change, the trust's share of the production volumes is impacted as the quantity of production to cover expenses in reaching the net profits break-even level changes inversely with price. As such, the underlying property production volume changes may not correlate with the trust's net profit share of those volumes in any given period. Therefore, comparative discussion of oil and gas sales volumes is based on the underlying properties.

TAX INFORMATION PER UNIT

	MONTHLY DISTRIBUTIONS PAID ON: (\$/UNIT EXCEPT COST DEPLETION FACTORS)			
	May 14, 2012	June 14, 2012	July 16, 2012	Total
Gross Income.....	\$ 0.070571	\$ 0.062484	\$ 0.060757	\$ 0.193812
Less Severance Taxes.....	(0.007223)	(0.006336)	(0.006340)	(0.019899)
Interest Income	0.000001	0.000001	0.000001	0.000003
Less Administration Expenses	(0.002027)	(0.003610)	(0.004233)	(0.009870)
NET CASH DISTRIBUTION	<u>\$ 0.061322</u>	<u>\$ 0.052539</u>	<u>\$ 0.050185</u>	<u>\$ 0.164046</u>
Cost Depletion Factors:				
Kansas - 80%	0.002043	0.001794	0.003575	0.007412
Oklahoma - 80%	0.005227	0.004657	0.004838	0.014722
Wyoming - 80%	0.002709	0.003243	0.002323	0.008275

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT:

HUGOTON ROYALTY TRUST

U.S. Trust, Bank of America
Private Wealth Management, Trustee
901 Main Street, 17th Floor
P.O. Box 830650
Dallas, Texas 75283-0650
(877) 228-5083
www.hugotontrust.com